

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/371,038	08/10/1999	LISA S. PURVIS	04529.81506	8784	
7	590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		LAMB, TWYLER MARIE			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/371,038	PURVIS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Twyler M. Lamb	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	d and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	X:					
Claim(s) allowed:		1) when I	1			
Claim(s) objected to: 2-5,7-10 and 12-15.		TWYLER LAMB				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6 and 11</u> .	p	RIMARY EXAMINE	R			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/371,038

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant aruges that Neuhard does not describer nor suggest a selector for selecting a traverser and selecting policies from a library or applicable policies based on a model of the machine.

Per the applicant's description, the traverser is a program module that controls machine controller to search for one or more valid iteneraries, a policy is usedd to determine whether the machine has the capabilities required to execute a desired function and an iteneary includes the specific modules and capabilities that are needed to process the print job.

Neuhard states in col 4 that spooler/scheduler is comprised of executable code and includes a suite of applications. The spooler/scheduler selects one of the device specifi subsytems and that different printer models are connected to the printing system. Each printer model attached has different attributes that are unique to that particular model. The printing sytem is also connected to a library server that stores san InfoPrint library that archives print files, source data, fonts, etc. (col 4, line 17 - col 5, line 8). The cited section futher supports the current rejection. From the current rejection and the cited section, it is clear that polices are selected from a library of applicable polices and the traverser (the program module) can select a preferred intenerary and all selctions can be made based on the model of the attached printer.